Variational Methods

Computer Vision, Lecture 15
Michael Felsberg
Computer Vision Laboratory
Department of Electrical Engineering

LINKÖPINGS UNIVERSITET

1

Optimization: Overview

Function		**Output (codomain / target set)**	
	Set	Continuous	Discrete
Input (domain of definition)	Continuous	Lecture 15	Lecture 15
	Discrete	Lecture 13	Lecture 13

ex: diffusion

ex: level-set segmentering

LINKÖPINGS UNIVERSITET

2

Diffusion: Evolution Equation

- Diffusion is an evolution process starting from the original image.
- Can diffusion be related to the iterations in an optimization process?
- Discrete steps: gradient descent steps (forward Newton scheme) on an objective function.
- But: the unknown is a function!
- Stationarity condition for the solution obtained by **variational calculus** from the objective function.

LINKÖPINGS UNIVERSITET

3

Variational Methods

- Minimize the local integral of a Lagrange function $L(f, f_x, f_y, x, y)$

$$\varepsilon(f) = \int_\Omega L(f, \nabla f, \mathbf{x})\, d\mathbf{x}$$

- gives the Euler-Lagrange equation on Ω

$$L_f - \mathrm{div}\, L_{\nabla f} = L_f - \partial_x L_{f_x} - \partial_y L_{f_y} = 0 \qquad \forall x, y$$

- if we require $\langle \nabla f | \mathbf{n} \rangle = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega$

LINKÖPINGS UNIVERSITET

4

Insight: EL Equation

- for all test functions *g*, the **Gâteaux derivative**
$$\langle \delta\varepsilon(f), g\rangle = \frac{d\varepsilon(f+\eta g)}{d\eta}\Big|_{\eta=0} = \lim_{\eta\to 0}\frac{\varepsilon(f+\eta g)-\varepsilon(f)}{\eta}$$
must vanish (scalar product in function space)
- Inserting the Lagrangian gives
$$\begin{aligned}\langle \delta\varepsilon(f), g\rangle &= \int_\Omega \lim_{\eta\to 0}\frac{L(f+\eta g, \nabla(f+\eta g), \mathbf{x}) - L(f, \nabla f, \mathbf{x})}{\eta}\, d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \langle L_f(f, \nabla f, \cdot), g\rangle + \langle L_{\nabla f}(f, \nabla f, \cdot), \nabla g\rangle\end{aligned}$$
- Note $h(\mathbf{y}) = h(\mathbf{a}) + (\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{a})^T\nabla h(\mathbf{a}) + \mathcal{O}(|\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{a}|^2)$

LINKÖPINGS UNIVERSITET

5

Insight: EL Equation

- use homogenity of Green's first identity
$$\int_\Omega \nabla f^T \nabla g + \mathrm{div}(\nabla f) g\, d\mathbf{x} = \oint_{\partial\Omega} (\nabla f^T \mathbf{n}) g\, dS$$
to obtain $\langle L_{\nabla f}, \nabla g\rangle + \langle \mathrm{div} L_{\nabla f}, g\rangle = \oint_{\partial\Omega} (L_{\nabla f}^T \mathbf{n}) g\, dS = 0$
to rewrite $\langle L_{\nabla f}, \nabla g\rangle = -\langle \mathrm{div} L_{\nabla f}, g\rangle$
- Thus $\langle \delta\varepsilon(f), g\rangle = \langle L_f - \mathrm{div} L_{\nabla f}, g\rangle$
- and we obtain the necessary condition (for all **x**)
$$L_f - \mathrm{div} L_{\nabla f} = 0$$

LINKÖPINGS UNIVERSITET

6

Linear Regularization

- Minimizing $\varepsilon(f) = \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega f_x^2 + f_y^2\, dx\, dy$
i.e. no data term $L(f, f_x, f_y, x, y) = L(f_x, f_y, x, y)$
- Gives the Euler-Lagrange equation
(note: $L_f = 0,\ L_{f_x} = f_x,\ L_{f_y} = f_y$)
$$(\partial_x f_x + \partial_y f_y) = \Delta f = 0$$
- Such that gradient descent gives $f^{(s+1)} = f^{(s)} + \alpha\Delta f^{(s)}$
or continuous formulation $f_s = \mathrm{div}(\nabla f) = \Delta f$
- Converges towards trivial solution

LINKÖPINGS UNIVERSITET

7

Non-Linear Regularization

- Minimizing $\varepsilon(f) = \int_\Omega \Psi(|\nabla f|)\, dx\, dy$
special case: $\Psi() = \mathrm{Id}() \Rightarrow \Psi'() = 1$
- Gives the Euler-Lagrange equation
$$\partial_x \frac{\Psi'(|\nabla f|)}{|\nabla f|} f_x + \partial_y \frac{\Psi'(|\nabla f|)}{|\nabla f|} f_y = \mathrm{div}\left(\frac{\Psi'(|\nabla f|)}{|\nabla f|}\nabla f\right) = 0$$
- Such that gradient descent gives
$$f^{(s+1)} = f^{(s)} + \alpha\, \mathrm{div}\left(\frac{\Psi'(|\nabla f^{(s)}|)}{|\nabla f^{(s)}|}\nabla f^{(s)}\right)$$

LINKÖPINGS UNIVERSITET

8

Exemple: Perona-Malik Flow

- Special cases: $\Psi(|\nabla f|) = -K^2/2 \ \cdot \exp(-|\nabla f|^2/K^2)$

$$\Rightarrow \Psi'(|\nabla f|) = |\nabla f| \exp(-|\nabla f|^2/K^2)$$

$$\Psi(|\nabla f|) = K^2/2 \ \cdot \log(K^2 + |\nabla f|^2)$$

$$\Rightarrow \Psi'(|\nabla f|) = |\nabla f|(1 + |\nabla f|^2/K^2)^{-1}$$

- Such that gradient descent gives Perona-Malik Flow

$$f^{(s+1)} = f^{(s)} + \alpha \, \mathrm{div} \left(\frac{\Psi'(|\nabla f^{(s)}|)}{|\nabla f^{(s)}|} \nabla f^{(s)} \right)$$

LINKÖPINGS UNIVERSITET

9

Interpretation

- Diffusion is an evolution over "time" s
- Starts at the measured image
- Converges towards DC signal
- Critical parameter 1: "stopping time"
- Critical parameter 2: α
- Several examples in the enhancement lecture

LINKÖPINGS UNIVERSITET

10

Beyond Diffusion

- In what follows: add data term to minimization problem
- Converges towards non-trivial solution
- Optimization with standard forward Euler scheme

LINKÖPINGS UNIVERSITET

11

Linear Restoration

- Minimizing

$$\varepsilon(f) = \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega \underbrace{(f - f_0)^2 + \lambda(f_x^2 + f_y^2)}_{L(f, f_x, f_y, x, y)} dx\, dy$$

- Gives the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\underbrace{f - f_0}_{L_f} - \lambda \underbrace{\Delta f}_{\mathrm{div}(L_{f_x}, L_{f_y})} = 0$$

- Such that gradient descent gives

$$f^{(s+1)} = f^{(s)} - \alpha(f^{(s)} - f_0 - \lambda \Delta f^{(s)})$$
$$= (1-\alpha) f^{(s)} + \alpha(f_0 + \lambda \Delta f^{(s)})$$

LINKÖPINGS UNIVERSITET

12

13

Non-Linear Restoration

- Minimizing

$$\varepsilon(f) = \int_\Omega \frac{1}{2}(f - f_0)^2 + \lambda\Psi(|\nabla f|)\, dx\, dy$$

- Gives the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$f - f_0 - \lambda\,\mathrm{div}\left(\frac{\Psi'(|\nabla f|)}{|\nabla f|}\nabla f\right) = 0$$

- Such that gradient descent gives

$$f^{(s+1)} = f^{(s)} - \alpha\left(f^{(s)} - f_0 - \lambda\,\mathrm{div}\left(\frac{\Psi'(|\nabla f^{(s)}|)}{|\nabla f^{(s)}|}\nabla f^{(s)}\right)\right)$$
$$= (1-\alpha)f^{(s)} + \alpha(f_0 + \lambda\mathrm{div}(\ldots))$$

LINKÖPINGS UNIVERSITET

13

14

Special Case: TV/ROF

- Minimizing

$$\varepsilon(f) = \int_\Omega \frac{1}{2}(f - f_0)^2 + \lambda|\nabla f|\, dx\, dy$$

- Gives the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$f - f_0 - \lambda\,\mathrm{div}\left(\frac{1}{|\nabla f|}\nabla f\right) = 0$$

- Such that gradient descent gives

$$f^{(s+1)} = f^{(s)} - \alpha\left(f^{(s)} - f_0 - \lambda\,\mathrm{div}\left(\frac{1}{|\nabla f^{(s)}|}\nabla f^{(s)}\right)\right)$$

LINKÖPINGS UNIVERSITET

14

15

Example (lecture 13)

- Paramters: α =0.0005, λ=0.5, noise(0,0.001)

LINKÖPINGS UNIVERSITET

15

16

Explicit vs Implicit

- All gradients so far are based on the previous estimate: the time discretization leads to an **explicit scheme** (least calculations, easiest)
- If the gradients are based on the new estimate, we obtain an **implicit scheme** (always stable, large time steps)
- If the gradients are based on both, we obtain the **Crank-Nicolson scheme** (always stable, small time steps)

LINKÖPINGS UNIVERSITET

16

Interpretation

- Restoration adds a data term
- Uses the measured image as input in each iteration
- Converges towards non-trivial solution
- Critical parameter 1: "meta" parameter λ
- Critical parameter 2: α

LINKÖPINGS UNIVERSITET

17

Beyond Restoration

- Data term can be used to describe the measurement model
- Degradation (blurring, noise, etc)
- Data term modality differs from modality of estimated term, e.g. image data is measured but
  - Optical flow
  - Segmentation map

  are to be estimated

LINKÖPINGS UNIVERSITET

18

Deblurring

- Minimizing
$$\varepsilon(f) = \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega (g * f - f_0)^2 + \lambda(f_x^2 + f_y^2)\, dx\, dy$$
- Gives the Euler-Lagrange equation
$$g(-\cdot) * (g * f - f_0) - \lambda \Delta f = 0$$
- Such that gradient descent gives
$$f^{(s+1)} = f^{(s)} - \alpha(g(-\cdot) * (g * f^{(s)} - f_0) - \lambda \Delta f^{(s)})$$

LINKÖPINGS UNIVERSITET

19

Comments

- *g*: point spread function (PSF)
- *g*(-*x*): correlation operator / adjoint operator
- even symmetry PSF: self adjoint
- definition of adjoint operator $\langle x | Ay \rangle = \langle A^* x | y \rangle$

- Example from lecture 13

LINKÖPINGS UNIVERSITET

20

Demonstration

Optical Flow $\mathbf{f} = \begin{bmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{bmatrix}$

- Minimizing

$$\varepsilon(\mathbf{f}) = \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega \overbrace{(\langle \mathbf{f}|\nabla g\rangle + g_t)}^{\text{BCCE}}{}^2 + \lambda(|\nabla f_1|^2 + |\nabla f_2|^2)\, dx\, dy$$

- Gives the Euler-Lagrange equation (HS!)

$$(\langle \mathbf{f}|\nabla g\rangle + g_t)\nabla g - \lambda\,\Delta\mathbf{f} = 0$$

- Laplacian is approximately

$$\Delta\mathbf{f} \approx \bar{\mathbf{f}} - \mathbf{f}$$

1	1	1	-	3	·	0	1	0	=	1	-2	1

Optical Flow

- Plugging into the EL-equation gives

$$(\lambda + \nabla g \nabla g^T)\mathbf{f} = \lambda\bar{\mathbf{f}} - g_t \nabla g$$

- Explicitly solving for **f** results in

$$\begin{aligned}
(\lambda + \nabla g \nabla g^T)\mathbf{f} &= (\lambda + \nabla g \nabla g^T)\bar{\mathbf{f}} - (\nabla g \nabla g^T \bar{\mathbf{f}} + \nabla g g_t) \\
&= (\lambda + \nabla g \nabla g^T)\bar{\mathbf{f}} - \nabla g(\nabla g^T \bar{\mathbf{f}} + g_t) \\
&= (\lambda + \nabla g \nabla g^T)\bar{\mathbf{f}} - \frac{\lambda + \nabla g^T \nabla g}{\lambda + \nabla g^T \nabla g}\nabla g(\nabla g^T \bar{\mathbf{f}} + g_t) \\
&= (\lambda + \nabla g \nabla g^T)\bar{\mathbf{f}} - \frac{\lambda + \nabla g \nabla g^T}{\lambda + \nabla g^T \nabla g}\nabla g(\nabla g^T \bar{\mathbf{f}} + g_t) \\
\mathbf{f} &= \bar{\mathbf{f}} - \frac{1}{\lambda + \nabla g^T \nabla g}\nabla g(\nabla g^T \bar{\mathbf{f}} + g_t)
\end{aligned}$$

Optical Flow

- Iterating the solution

$$\mathbf{f} = \bar{\mathbf{f}} - \frac{1}{\lambda + \nabla g^T \nabla g}\nabla g(\nabla g^T \bar{\mathbf{f}} + g_t)$$

- Results in the Horn & Schunck iteration

$$\mathbf{f}^{(s+1)} = \bar{\mathbf{f}}^{(s)} - \frac{1}{\lambda + |\nabla g|^2}(\langle \bar{\mathbf{f}}^{(s)}|\nabla g\rangle + g_t)\nabla g$$

- Significant improvement: use median instead of $\bar{\mathbf{f}}$!

25

Demonstration

LINKÖPINGS UNIVERSITET

25

26

Segmentation / Contours

- Segmentation function (level-set function) to be optimized
- Negative / positive in background / object region
- Contour is the zero-level



LINKÖPINGS UNIVERSITET

26

27

Segmentation / Contours

- Chan-Vese energy minimized of level-set function ϕ

$$E(\phi) = \int_\Omega (H(\phi) - 1) f_2 - H(\phi) f_1 + \lambda |\nabla H(\phi)| \, d\mathbf{x}$$

- H is the (regularized) Heaviside function
- f are weights computed from the image (e.g. squared deviation from certain greyscale)
- EL equation

$$\delta(\phi) \left(f_2 - f_1 + \lambda \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\nabla \phi}{|\nabla \phi|} \right) \right) = 0$$

- Problem: (regularized) delta function δ

LINKÖPINGS UNIVERSITET

27

28

Segmentation / Contours

- Omitting delta-function
- Original solution remains solution
- Corresponds to minimizing

$$E(\phi) = \int_\Omega (f_2 - f_1)\phi + \lambda |\nabla \phi| \, d\mathbf{x}$$

- Non-existence of minimizer (!)

LINKÖPINGS UNIVERSITET

28

Segmentation / Contours

- Binary function instead of level-set function
- becomes Ising model

$$E(\phi) = -\int_{\Omega_2} f_2 \, d\mathbf{x} - \int_{\Omega_1} f_1 \, d\mathbf{x} + \lambda |C|$$

- Hard to solve – use relaxation
  - Binary function replaced by smooth approximation
  - After optimization apply threshold
- Discrete optimization (lecture 13)

LINKÖPINGS UNIVERSITET

29

Examples

http://homepages.inf.ed.ac.uk/rbf/CVonline/LOCAL_COPIES/CREMERS2/

LINKÖPINGS UNIVERSITET

30

Demonstration

LINKÖPINGS UNIVERSITET

31

Alternative Contour Methods

- Popular application:
  - Geodesic active contours
  - Snakes
- Contour parametrized as

$$\mathbf{v}(s) = [x(s), y(s)] \qquad s \in [0,1]$$

- Usually approximated as spline
- Option: Fourier descriptors



LINKÖPINGS UNIVERSITET

32

Geodesic Active Contours

- Consider a curve moving in time

$$\mathbf{v}(s,t) = [x(s,t), y(s,t)]$$

- let the curve develop according to the inward normal **n** and the curvature *c*

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} = V(c)\mathbf{n}$$

LINKÖPINGS UNIVERSITET

Geodesic Active Contours

- Assume level set function $\phi(x, y, t)$ such that $\phi(\mathbf{v}(s,t), t) = 0$
- Negative inside and positive outside gives

$$\mathbf{n} = -\frac{\nabla\phi}{|\nabla\phi|}$$

- Plug in normal into evolution equation gives

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} = -\frac{V(c)\nabla\phi}{|\nabla\phi|}$$

LINKÖPINGS UNIVERSITET

Geodesic Active Contours

- What remains is to re-write l.h.s. of

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} = -\frac{V(c)\nabla\phi}{|\nabla\phi|}$$

- Time derivative of $\phi(\mathbf{v}(s,t), t)$ gives

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \nabla\phi\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} = 0$$

- Such that

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = V(c)|\nabla\phi|$$

- Level-set equation

LINKÖPINGS UNIVERSITET

Over-Segmentation / Superpixels

- So far: attempt for semantic segmentation
- Alternative: over-segmentation based on stationarity of image process
  - MSER (lecture 8)
  - Superpixel algorithms – clustering in 5D (*x,y,R,G,B*)
  - Left: contour-relaxed superpixels
  - Right: SLIC



LINKÖPINGS UNIVERSITET