GEOMETRY FOR COMPUTER VISION

LECTURE 5B: CALIBRATED MULTI-VIEW GEOMETRY

### LECTURE 5B: CALIBRATED MULTI-VIEW GEOMETRY

#### The 5-point Algorithm

**%**P3P

Bundle Adjustment

(C) 2010 PER-ERIK FORSSÉN

# TOOLS FOR IMAGE-BASED 3D MODELS

\* E.g. Photo Tourism from University of Washington. (has a web demo)



(C) 2010 PER-ERIK FORSSÉN

# TOOLS FOR IMAGE-BASED 3D MODELS

\* E.g. Pons et al. at Inria Sophia-Antipolis CVPR'09



\* In the uncalibrated case, two view geometry is encoded by the fundamental matrix  $\mathbf{x}_1^T \mathbf{F} \mathbf{x}_2 = 0$ 

In the uncalibrated case, two view geometry is encoded by the fundamental matrix

# $\mathbf{x}_1^T \mathbf{F} \mathbf{x}_2 = 0$

If all scene points lie on a plane, or if the camera has undergone a pure rotation (no translation), we also have:

 $\mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{x}_2$ 

Big trouble!

# If  $\mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{x}_2$ , then the epipolar constraint becomes  $\mathbf{x}_1^T \mathbf{F}\mathbf{x}_2 = \mathbf{x}_1^T \mathbf{F}\mathbf{H}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_1 = 0$ 

\* For  $M = FH^{-1}$ , this is true whenever M is skew-symmetric, i.e.

 $\mathbf{M}^T + \mathbf{M} = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathbf{M} = [\mathbf{m}]_{\times}$ 

(C) 2010 PER-ERIK FORSSÉN

# If  $\mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{x}_2$ , then the epipolar constraint becomes  $\mathbf{x}_1^T \mathbf{F}\mathbf{x}_2 = \mathbf{x}_1^T \mathbf{F}\mathbf{H}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_1 = 0$ 

\* For  $M = FH^{-1}$ , this is true whenever M is skew-symmetric, i.e.

 $\mathbf{M}^T + \mathbf{M} = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathbf{M} = [\mathbf{m}]_{\times}$ 

Thus  $\mathbf{F} = [\mathbf{s}]_{\times} \mathbf{H}$  where  $\mathbf{s}$  may be chosen freely!

A two-parameter family of solutions.

### THE 5-POINT ALGORITHM

Recap from last weeks lecture...

In the calibrated case, epipolar geometry is encoded by the *essential matrix*, E according to:

 $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_1^T \mathbf{E} \hat{\mathbf{x}}_2 = 0$ 

### THE 5-POINT ALGORITHM

Recap from last weeks lecture...

In the calibrated case, epipolar geometry is encoded by the *essential matrix*, E according to:

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_1^T \mathbf{E} \hat{\mathbf{x}}_2 = 0$$

In the calibrated setting there are just two possibilities if a plane is seen. See Negahdaripour, *Closed-form relationship between the two interpretations of a moving plane*. JOSA90

### THE 5-POINT ALGORITHM

\* E can be estimated from 5 corresponding points (see today's paper).

\*\* A small sample is useful for RANSAC (le 3).

The plane degeneracy is essentially avoided.

There are however up to 10 solutions for E to test.

If we have the calibrated two view geometry, and want to add another view to the model.

Or, in general from N views to N+1 views...

First triangulate image points x̂ in two views to get 3D points X

\* Then relate X to image points in the new view  $\hat{\mathbf{y}} \sim [\mathbf{R}|\mathbf{t}]\mathbf{X}$ 

Each correspondence  $\hat{\mathbf{y}} \sim [\mathbf{R}|\mathbf{t}]\mathbf{X}$  gives us 2 equations.

We have 6 unknowns.

 $\Rightarrow$  at least 3 points are needed

Each correspondence  $\hat{\mathbf{y}} \sim [\mathbf{R}|\mathbf{t}]\mathbf{X}$  gives us 2 equations.

We have 6 unknowns.

 $\gg \Rightarrow$  at least 3 points are needed

If we have outliers, we want to use RANSAC, with a minimal sample set.

\* Instead of determining **R**, **t** directly one typically computes the distances to the 3D points **X** from the new camera centre given the side lengths of the 3D triangle, and the projections in the image.  $\chi_2$ 



(C) 2010 PER-ERIK FORSSÉN



(C) 2010 PER-ERIK FORSSÉN



Sy expressing the angles via scalar products, we get 3 equations with 3 unknowns.

\* Define angles between rays as:  $\cos \gamma_{kl} = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{x}}_k^T \hat{\mathbf{x}}_l}{||\hat{\mathbf{x}}_k||||\hat{\mathbf{x}}_l||}$ \* and the side lengths as:  $l_{kl} = ||\mathbf{X}_k - \mathbf{X}_l||$ X<sub>2</sub>

 $X_1$ 

**S**<sub>3</sub>

S<sub>1</sub>

| $s_1^2 + s_2^2 - 2s_1s_2\cos\gamma_{12}$ | = | $l_{12}^2$ |
|--|---|------------|
| $s_1^2 + s_3^2 - 2s_1s_3\cos\gamma_{13}$ | = | $l_{13}^2$ |
| $s_2^2 + s_3^2 - 2s_2s_3\cos\gamma_{23}$ | = | $l_{23}^2$ |

\* Define angles between rays as:  $\cos \gamma_{kl} = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{x}}_k^T \hat{\mathbf{x}}_l}{||\hat{\mathbf{x}}_k||||\hat{\mathbf{x}}_l||}$ \* and the side lengths as:  $l_{kl} = ||\mathbf{X}_k - \mathbf{X}_l||$ 

$$\begin{cases} s_1^2 + s_2^2 - 2s_1s_2\cos\gamma_{12} &= l_{12}^2\\ s_1^2 + s_3^2 - 2s_1s_3\cos\gamma_{13} &= l_{13}^2\\ s_2^2 + s_3^2 - 2s_2s_3\cos\gamma_{23} &= l_{23}^2 \end{cases}$$

This can be converted into a fourth degree polynomial, which is then solved.

\* Numerical issues when solving the 4th degree polynomial.

\*\* Various approaches compared by Haralick et al. Analysis and Solutions of the Three Point Perspective Pose Estimation Problem, IJCV94

\* P3P has up to four real solutions that have to be checked inside RANSAC.

Once we have solved P3P we have 3D points in the new camera.

Sy relating these to the known 3D points in the world coordinate system, R, t are uniquely defined.

We can now build a decent 3D model by incrementally adding new cameras using P3P.

ℬBut...

We can now build a decent 3D model by incrementally adding new cameras using P3P.

\*\* But for long trajectories, errors will start to accumulate.  $R_4 t_4$ 



BA is essentially ML over all image correspondences given all cameras, and all 3D points.

 $\{\mathbf{R}^*, \mathbf{t}^*, \mathbf{X}^*\} = \arg\min_{\{\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{X}\}} \sum_{k,l} d(\mathbf{x}_{kl}, \mathbf{K}[\mathbf{R}_k | \mathbf{t}_k] \mathbf{X}_l)^2$ 

BA is essentially ML over all image correspondences given all cameras, and all 3D points. (Optionally also intrinsics.)

$$\{\mathbf{R}^*, \mathbf{t}^*, \mathbf{X}^*\} = \arg\min_{\{\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{X}\}} \sum_{k, l} d(\mathbf{x}_{kl}, \mathbf{K}[\mathbf{R}_k | \mathbf{t}_k] \mathbf{X}_l)^2$$

Needs initial guess. (Obtained by RANSAC on 5-point method and P3P)

**Open source** SBA, by M. Lourakis et al.

- The choice of parametrisation of 3D points, and camera rotations is important.
- \* If both near and far points are seen, it might be better to use  $\mathbf{X} = [X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4]^T$ than  $\mathbf{X} = [X_1, X_2, X_3, 1]^T$
- Good choices for rotations are unit quarternions, and axis-angle (see le 7)

Descent on the cost function is typically done using a regularized Newton method, such as Levenberg-Marquardt

$$\min \sum_{k,l} (\mathbf{x}_{kl} - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{X}_k, \mathbf{S}_l))^2$$

 $\sum_{k,l} (\mathbf{x}_{kl} - f(\mathbf{X}_k, \mathbf{S}_l + \delta_l))^2 \approx \sum_{k,l} (\mathbf{x}_{kl} - f(\mathbf{X}_k, \mathbf{S}_l) + \mathbf{J}_{kl} \delta_l)^2$ 

Block structure. Should be utilised for speed!

Block structure. Should be utilised for speed!



(C) 2010 PER-ERIK FORSSÉN

Too many details to mention.

See the paper: Triggs et al., Bundle Adjustment -A Modern Synthesis, LNCS Book chapter, 2000

#### DISCUSSION

\* Discussion of the paper: David Nistér, An Efficient Solution to the Five-Point Relative Pose Problem, CVPR'03

#### FOR NEXT WEEK...

\* Quan Invariants of Six Points and Projective Reconstruction From Three Uncalibrated Images PAMI'95. Sec 1-3

\*\* Ondrej Chum and Jiri Matas, Matching with PROSAC – Progressive Sample Consensus, CVPR'05

(C) 2010 PER-ERIK FORSSÉN